

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 1 2 2010

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

The Honorable Addison D. Davis IV
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Environment,
Safety, and Occupational Health
U.S. Department of the Army
110 Army Pentagon, Rm 3D453
Washington, D.C. 20310-0110

Dear Mr. Davis:

The purpose of this annual letter is to ensure close coordination between the U.S. Department of the Army (Army) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in meeting key Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) measures. As you know, EPA annually tracks accomplishments at Superfund NPL sites, of which Army NPL facilities are a part. These measures include: Human Exposure Environmental Indicator (EI); Migration of Contaminated Groundwater (EI); Sitewide Ready for Anticipated Use (SWRAU); Construction Completions (CC); and Five-Year Reviews (5YR). Although 5YRs are not GPRA measures, they are a very important activity and EPA reports annually to Congress our progress towards meeting these targets. Please see attached charts for site-specific data on these measures.

We appreciate the Army's continued efforts to work with EPA to ensure that we are accurately capturing our progress toward protection of human health and the environment. In particular, I'd like to emphasize the importance of your Services' continued participation in the EPA/DoD Goal Harmonization Workgroup. Through this workgroup we hope to 1) better align our reporting metrics / measures, 2) develop a joint target setting process, 3) and improve our external metric communication and reporting. Eventually, we envision a closely aligned process for establishing goals and measuring progress of NPL cleanups.

### Environmental Indicators

By the end of FY2010, EPA has a nationally established overall goal of 148 Federal NPL facilities (out of 173) achieving the Human Exposure Under Control EI status and 109 federal NPL facilities achieving the Groundwater Migration Under Control EI status. Using our FY2010 mid-year data, EPA believes that Human Exposure is Not Under Control or has Insufficient Data at 9 of your 43 NPL facilities (21%). For your convenience, the complete list is attached to this letter. Please provide us with a brief estimate of when (fiscal year) the Army expects these 9 installations to qualify as Human Exposure Under Control.

Additionally, EPA believes that there are 16 Army NPL installations (out of 40 facilities with Groundwater) at which Groundwater Migration is Not Under Control or has Insufficient Data (40%). Please provide us with your estimate of when the Army expects these 16 installations to achieve a status of Groundwater Migration Under Control.

### • Sitewide Ready for Anticipated Use

EPA's Superfund program has its own acreage measure independent of any state or agency. EPA measures acres with two distinct indicators: 1) Acres Protected for People (PFP) and 2) Acres Ready for Anticipated Use (RAU). Additionally, the Superfund program measures the number of sites which are Sitewide Ready for Anticipated Use (SWRAU). All land-based Superfund acres are designated by Operable Unit (OU) or parcel.

The PFP designation is used for acres which are currently protective for human health (i.e. Human Exposure is Under Control). The RAU designation is used for acres which are protective for human health, all cleanup goals in the Decision Document(s) have been achieved, and all institutional controls (if necessary) have been put in place. Once all OUs or parcels have been designated as RAU, and the facility is already Construction Complete, the installation meets the criteria for becoming SWRAU (for NPL sites only).

There are three Army NPL facilities targeted for SWRAU in FY2010. Please confirm that these three facilities will be able to reach this milestone this fiscal year. There is currently one Army Federal facility NPL installation which is planned to achieve this milestone in FY2011, and three in FY2012 (please see attached list). Please verify whether this planning data is accurate.

### Construction Completion

As you are aware, EPA's CC measure is one of the primary tools used by EPA to report the progress of cleanup activities. For FY2010, there is one Army facility targeted to achieve this measure. EPA is currently showing that the Army will achieve one CC in FY2011, and there are 2 facilities planned for FY2012.

We are very interested in accurately and realistically projecting the achievement of CCs at all Federal facilities in the context of ensuring the protection of human health and the environment. Please respond confirming whether the Army agrees with the provided CC planning data, and the likelihood that these targeted candidates will achieve CC on, or before, schedule.

### • Five-Year Reviews

Five-Year Reviews are conducted to evaluate the implementation and performance of a remedy in order to determine if the remedy is, or will be, protective of human health and the environment. Nine Army 5YRs are targeted for completion in FY2010. Any 5YR listing highlighted in red in the chart below means that, as of mid-year FY2010, the report had not been finalized and was overdue. Please provide us with an explanation of why the Army believes these statutorily required Five-Year Reviews have not been completed.

In FY2010, EPA's Inspector General (IG) performed an audit on Federal Facility Five-Year Review reports, their associated data, their Issues and Recommendations, timeliness of the reports, etc. The IG recommends that EPA improve its role in, and management of, Federal facility 5YRs. We have transmitted this report to you under separate cover.

The agency considers all of these measures of critical importance because they enable us to report to the public and Congress where actions have been taken to protect human health and the environment at Superfund NPL facilities. Achievement of these measures is based on a continually successful partnership between EPA and the Army. Many communities have expressed an interest in more transparency, and involvement in the cleanup of contaminated facilities. EPA is committed to implementing a management strategy to identify opportunities for more community involvement.

In addition, EPA is initiating an aggressive multi-year effort, called the Integrated Cleanup Initiative (ICI), to integrate and leverage its land cleanup authorities (i.e., Superfund Remedial, Removal, Federal Facilities, and Brownfields) to address a greater number of contaminated sites, accelerate cleanups, and put sites back into productive use while protecting human health and the environment. As a first step, EPA has committed to a new publicly reported performance measure in FY2011 - an increase in completion of Superfund remedial action projects. This new measure better demonstrates ongoing progress and risk reduction at Superfund sites and provides the opportunity to better manage our cleanup program. We will be talking with the Army more about this new measure as we move forward toward the 2011 reporting date.

Thank you in advance for your efforts to work with EPA in ensuring that we continue to protect human health and the environment at Superfund NPL facilities. I ask that your organization work with our regional offices, and the Federal Facilities Restoration and Reuse Office (FFRRO), to continue efforts to achieve protective cleanups and utilize these measures to communicate our joint successes in doing so. FFRRO's Office Director is John Reeder and he can be reached at (703) 603-9089 or at reeder.john@epa.gov. You can also contact Gail A. Cooper, FFRRO's Deputy Director and management lead for measures at (703) 603-0049 or at cooper.gailann@epa.gov.

Mathy Stanislaus

Assistant Administrator

cc: John Reeder, FFRRO
Gail A. Cooper, FFRRO
James Woolford, OSRTI
Superfund Regional National Program Managers, Regions I – X
RCRA Regional National Program Managers, Regions I - X
Federal Facility Program Managers, Regions I – X

FIVE YEAR REVIEWS (as of April 1, 2010)

FY         REG         8           2010         01         01           2010         02         02           2010         03         04           2010         04         04           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2010         07         07           2011         01         01           2011         01         01				***************************************	
01 03 04 04 07 07 01 01	STATE	EACH ITV NAME	IdN	ACTUAL	FYR DUE
	MA		FINAL	COM	0/20/2010
	Z	FORT DIX (LANDFILL SITE)	FINAL		9/29/2010
	WV	WEST VIRGINIA ORDNANCE (USARMY)	FINAL		6/10/2010
	AL	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT (SOUTHEAST INDUSTRIAL AREA)	FINAL	MOIL	11/4/2009
	N.	MILAN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		9/27/2010
	МО	LAKE CITY ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT (NORTHWEST LAGOON)	FINAL		9/7/2010
	МО	WELDON SPRING FORMER ARMY ORDNANCE WORKS	FINAL		3/21/2010
	NE	CORNHUSKER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		9/17/2009
	OR	UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT (LAGOONS)	FINAL		11/19/2009
		£4.501)			6
	MA	FORT DEVENS-SUDBURY TRAINING ANNEX	DELETED		9/25/2011
	MA	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY (USARMY)	DELETED		3/20/2011
2011 02	Z	PICATINNY ARSENAL (USARMY)	FINAL		9/6/2011
2011 02	NY	SENECA ARMY DEPOT	FINAL		9/5/2011
2011 06	LA	LOUISIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		6/28/2011
2011 06	TX	LONE STAR ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		8/31/2011
2011 07	IA	IOWA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		3/23/2011
2011 09	CA	RIVERBANK ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL		9/22/2011
2011 10	AK	FORT WAINWRIGHT	FINAL		9/29/2011
2011 Count		YALIM TROFF 2N YMRG	0.5		6
2012 03	MD	FORT GEORGE G. MEADE	FINAL		5/29/2012
2012 03	PA	LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT (PDO AREA)	FINAL		3/12/2012
2012 03	PA	TOBYHANNA ARMY DEPOT	FINAL		9/29/2012
2012 07	KS	FORT RILEY	FINAL		9/20/2012

26	9 W	OBLIDEX (FVEDELTT SILE)	Š		Grand Count
8	NA I	DRI DRATAS	A		2012 Count
9/28/2012	FINAL	FORT LEWIS LOGISTICS CENTER	WA	10	2012
9/24/2012	DELETED	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (USARMY)	H	09	2012
9/24/2012	FINAL	SACRAMENTO ARMY DEPOT	CA	09	2012
9/25/2012	FINAL	FORT ORD	CA	09	2012

# CONSTRUCTION COMPLETIONS (as of April 1, 2010)

	04 ARMY	n Service	EPA Military	Planne		05 ARMY I	TAME	Region Service	Military	Planne		06 ARMY	Service	EPA Military	Planne	
0.7	AL	State	***	d NPL		M		State	toto	d NPL		LA	State	toto	d NPL	
FORT RII FY	ALABAMA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	Facility Ivailie	Facility Name	Planned NPL Site Construction Completions	FY 2012	(USARMY)	NEW BRIGHTON/ARDEN HILLS/TCAAP	Facility Maile	Facility Name	Planned NPL Site Construction Completions	FY 2011	LOUISIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	Facility Maille	Facility Name	Planned NPL Site Construction Completion	F1 2010

SITEWIDE READY FOR ANTICIPATED USE (as of April 1, 2010)

FY 2010

	PI	lanned NI	Planned NPL Sitewide Ready-for-Reuse
EPA Region	Military Service	State	Facility Name
50	AMAY	1	JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT (LOAD-
CO	IMME	TIT.	JOLIET ARMY AMMINITION PLANT
05	ARMY	IL	(MANUFACTURING AREA)
10	ARMY	WA	FORT LEWIS (LANDFILL NO. 5)
			FY 2011
	P	lanned NI	Planned NPL Sitewide Ready-for-Reuse
EPA Region	Military Service	State	Facility Name
90	ARMY	LA	LOUISIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT
			FY 2012
	PI	lanned NI	Planned NPL Sitewide Ready-for-Reuse
EPA	Military	Ctata	Fooility Nomo
Region	Service	State	Fatility Maille
94	ARMY	AL	ALABAMA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT
			NEW BRIGHTON/ARDEN HILLS/TCAAP
05	ARMY	WIN	(ARMYMY)
			WELDON SPRING FORMER ARMY
07	ARMY	MO	ORDNANCE WORKS

## ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS (as of April 1, 2010)

	GROUNDWATER
	HUMAN
	NPL
ARMY	
	FACILITY NAME
	STATE
	EPA

													RE
ය 	3	ය 	03	03	23	02	02	02	01	01	01	01	REGION
PA	PA	MD	MD	MD	MD	Ϋ́N	Z	Z	MA	MA	MA	MA	
LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT (SE AREA)	LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT (PDO AREA)	FORT GEORGE G. MEADE	FORT DETRICK AREA B GROUND WATER	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (MICHAELSVILLE LANDFILL)	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND (EDGEWOOD AREA)	SENECA ARMY DEPOT	PICATINNY ARSENAL (USARMY)	FORT DIX (LANDFILL SITE)	NATICK LABORATORY ARMY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY (USARMY)	FORT DEVENS-SUDBURY TRAINING ANNEX	FORT DEVENS	
FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	DELETED	DELETED	FINAL	STATUS
HE UNDER CONTROL	HE NOT DETERMINED	HE NOT CONTROLLED	HE NOT DETERMINED	HE UNDER CONTROL	HE NOT CONTROLLED	HE UNDER CONTROL	HE UNDER CONTROL	PROTECT	HE UNDER CONTROL	LONG TERM PROTECT	LONG TERM PROTECT	HE UNDER CONTROL	EXPOSURE
GM UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT CONTROLLED	GM NOT CONTROLLED	GM NOT DETERMINED	GM UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT CONTROLLED	GM UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT DETERMINED	NOT A GM SITE	GM UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT CONTROLLED	MIGRATION

		ARMY			
EPA REGION	STATE	FACILITY NAME	NPL	HUMAN EXPOSURE	GROUNDWATER
03	PA	TOBYHANNA ARMY DEPOT	FINAL	HE CONTROLLED, PROT. REM.	GM UNDER CONTROL
03	VA	FORT EUSTIS (US ARMY)	FINAL	HE NOT DETERMINED	GM NOT DETERMINED
03	WV	WEST VIRGINIA ORDNANCE (USARMY)	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL
04	AL	ALABAMA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL
04	AL	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT (SOUTHEAST INDUSTRIAL AREA)	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT CONTROLLED
04	AL	USARMY/NASA REDSTONE ARSENAL	FINAL	HE NOT DETERMINED	GM NOT CONTROLLED
04	NI	MILAN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM NOT DETERMINED
92	П	JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT (LOAD-ASSEMBLY-PACKING AREA)	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL
05	П	JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT (MANUFACTURING AREA)	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL
05	IL	SAVANNA ARMY DEPOT ACTIVITY	FINAL	HE NOT DETERMINED	GM NOT DETERMINED
05	MN	NEW BRIGHTON/ARDEN HILLS/TCAAP (USARMY)	FINAL	HE UNDER CONTROL	GM UNDER CONTROL
90	LA	LOUISIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL	LONG TERM PROTECT	GM UNDER CONTROL
90	TX	LONE STAR ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	FINAL	LONG TERM PROTECT	GM UNDER CONTROL

PDA
EPA STATE
9 0
07 IA
07 KS
07 MO
07 MO
07 NE
08 CO
08 UT
09 CA
09 CA
09 CA

	HUMAN GROUNDWATER SEXPOSURE MIGRATION	LONG TERM GM UNDER CONTROL	HE CONTROLLED, GM UNDER CONTROL	HE UNDER GM NOT CONTROL DETERMINED	HE UNDER GM UNDER CONTROL	LONG TERM NOT A GM SITE PROTECT	HE UNDER GM UNDER CONTROL	LONG TERM NOT A GM SITE PROTECT
	NPL	DELETED	FINAL	FINAL	FINAL	DELETED	FINAL	DELETED
ARMY	STATE FACILITY NAME	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (USARMY)	FORT RICHARDSON (USARMY)	FORT WAINWRIGHT	UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT (LAGOONS)	FORT LEWIS (LANDFILL NO. 5)	FORT LEWIS LOGISTICS CENTER	HAMILTON ISLAND LANDFILL (USA/COE)
	STATE	IH	AK	AK	OR	WA	WA	WA
	EPA REGION	60	10	10	10	10	10	10